



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,602	08/30/2001	Scott Van De Graaff	M4065.0469/P469	6439	
24998	7590 03/29/2002				
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER		
2101 L STRE			KENNEDY, J	FNNIFER M	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-1526	•	KENNED I, JENNI EN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1		ah ah			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/941,602 Examiner	VAN DE GRAAFF	, SCOTT			
· !	1	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jennifer M. Kennedy  ears on the cover sheet with the c	2812 correspondence ad	droce			
Teriod for Kepty			uress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any status  - Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 D	<u>ecember 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on i	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro\	ed by the Examine	r.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents to</li> </ol>	have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents t</li></ol>	have been received in Application	n <b>No</b>				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p			application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-	 152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,602

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-28, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 530+.
- II. Claims 29-42, drawn to an apparatus used to form a semiconductor device, classified in class 365, subclass 225.7.
- III. Claims 43-55, drawn to the method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 131+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the processing apparatus could be used to program circuits of devices other than an anit-fuse.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 09/941,602

Art Unit: 2812

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device could be made by soft programming both the latches and the programmable elements, rather than by programming the latches with a soft-programming method and programming the programmable elements with a hard-programming method.

Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case processing apparatus could be used in the method of programming devices other than an anti-fuse.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 308-6171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jywc jmk

March 26, 2002

Jolin F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800